WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

October 7, 2003

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: James D. Smith, Environmental Scientist

RE: 2003 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, PacifiCorp, Cottonwood / Wilberg Mine,

C/015/0019, Task ID #169

The mine was sealed May 10, 2001, so in-mine monitoring sites TMA @ 32 and 2ndS XC-11 are no longer accessible. The pond at the Cottonwood Fan Portal was reclaimed in 2002 and UPDES 22896-002 is no longer reported.

- **1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES [X] NO [] *Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known*:
- 2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 3/06/04, renewal due 7/06/04. Baseline analyses were performed in 1996 and 2001 and will be repeated every 5 years, i.e., next baseline analyses will be in 2006.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [] NO [X] Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Dissolved Mg was not reported for the USFS UPDES –004 sample collected near the Miller Canyon portals. This USFS sampling requirement is incorporated in the MRP.

Page 2 C/015/0019 Task ID #1698 October 7, 2003

4. Were irregularities found in the da	4.	Were	irregu	larities	found	in	the	data	a'
--	----	------	--------	----------	-------	----	-----	------	----

YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

GWR-03 <u>June</u>: Ca (n = 28) and total alkalinity (n = 61); not a required parameter) were outside the two standard deviation range;

UPDES 22896-001 (WMD-01) May: field pH (n = 190) was outside the two standard deviation range.

5. Were DMR data submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []
2nd month, YES [X] NO []
3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

The pond at the Cottonwood Fan Portal was reclaimed in 2002 and UPDES 22896-002 is no longer reported.

A sample was collected from some standing water near the Miller Canyon portals to satisfy USFS requirements. It is questionable that the sample is mine discharge water: the last measured discharge from the portals was July 1996, and the portals are sealed and the area reclaimed. The USFS sampling will continue semi-annually for two years.

Data were submitted electronically for all three months. DMRs were submitted in electronic format (Adobe) for April and May but not for June.

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

DMR parameters that are not included in the operational parameter lists in the MRP are not reported in the electronic submittal.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES[X] NO[]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

UPDES 22896-001 (WMD-01) $\underline{\text{May}}$: field pH (n = 190) was outside the two standard deviation range.

Page 3 C/015/0019 Task ID #169 October 7, 2003

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

The Permittee needs to report both total and dissolved Mg at the USFS UPDES -004 sample collected near the Miller Canyon portals

\O:\015019.CWW\WATER QUALITY\JDSWQ03-2_169.DOC